

## BANGLADESH WATER DEVELOPMENT BOARD

# PROJECT MONITORING FORM: IMED 04/2001 (REVISED)

# PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT (PCR) FOR

PROTECTION OF GOALI CHARA, KAZIRBAZAR CHARA, JANGALIA-JUGIRGAON AND MAHTABPUR IN SADAR UPAZILA, DITRICT-SYLHET.

DIVISION: SYLHET O&M DIVISION, BWDB, SYLHET. CIRCLE: SYLHET O&M CIRCLE, BWDB, SYLHET.

## Government of Peoples` Republic of Bangladesh Ministry of Planning Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation Division

## Project Monitoring Form: IMED 04/2001 (Revised.)

## A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

01. Name of the Project

Protection of Goali Chara , Kazirbazar

Chara , Jangalia-Jugirgaon and

Mahtabpur in Sadar Upazila, Ditrict-

Sylhet.

02. Administrative Ministry / Division

Ministry of Water Resources.

o3. Executing Agency

Bangladesh Water Development Board

04. Location of the Project

Upazila- Sylhet Sadar, District-Sylhet.

05. Objective of the Project

The main objectives of the project are:

-To protect Sylhet-Sunamganj Highway (the only road way communication between Sylhet & Sunamganj) as well as valuable Govt. and private properties at Mahtabpur and it's adjoining areas by implementing the proposed bank protective works on left bank of the Surma River.

-To safeguard human lives & properties and restore social & natural security along with environmental preservation from continued threat of river-bank erosion for sustainable socioeconomic development in the entire Sylhet.

o6. Estimated Cost

(In lakh taka)

	Originl	Latest Revised
(a) Total	885.42	336.78
(b) Taka	885.42	336.78
(c) Foreign Currency		
(d) Project Aid		
(e) RPA		

07. Date of Approval

Original

Latest Revised

(a) Original

10/09/2006

(b) Latest Revised

17/03/2008

o8. Implementation Period:

	Date of Commencement	Date of Completion
(a) Original	2006-07	2007-08
(b) Latest Revised	2006-07	2008-09
(c) Actual	2007-08	2008-09

- 09. Financing Arrangement (Source wise):
- 9.1 Status of Loan / Grant

a) Foreign Financing

	Currency as per Agreement	US\$	Nature (Loan/ Grant/ Supplier's credit)	Date of Agreement	Date of Effectiveness	Date o	f Closing Revised
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8

Tiot upp.

b) GOB

(Taka in lakh)

Total amount	Loan	Grant	Cash Foreign Exchange
1	2	3	4
336.78		336.78	

9.2 Utilization of Project Aid

: (Source wise)

(In million)

Source(s)	Total Amount		Actual Expenditure		Unutilized Amount	
	In US S	In Local currency	In US\$	In Local Currency	In US\$	In Local Currency
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

------ Not applicable -----

#### 9.3 Reimbursable Project Aid (RPA):

(Taka In lakh)

RPA Amount		Amount Spent	Amount Claimed	Amount Reimbursed	Remarks
As per PP	As per Agreement		8		
1	2	3	4	5	6

----- Not applicable -----

#### B. IMPLEMENTATION POSITION

#### 01. Implementation Period

Implementation period as per PP		Actual Implementation	Time over-run (% of original	Remarks
Original	Latest Revised		implementation Period)	
1	2	3	4	5
2006-08	2007-09	2007-09	33.33%	9

## o2. Cost of the Project

(In lakh taka)

Description	tion Estimated Cost		Actual Expenditure	Cost over-run (% of original	Remarks
	Original	Latest revised		cost)	
1	2	3	4	5	6
TOTAL	885.42	336.78	246.04		
TAKA	885.42	336.78	246.04		
PA			8		

## o3. Project personnel

Sanctioned strength as	Manpower employed	Status of the	Manpower employed			
per PP	during execution	Manpower requirement for O&M as per PP	Existing manpower for O&M	Others	Male	Female
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Officer (s) Staff (s) Total:	No extra man	power was employe engaging B	ed for the projec			xecuted by

## 04. Training of Project Personnel ( Foreign / Local ):

Field of	Provision as per PP		Actua	Remarks				
training/ Study tour/ workshop/	Number of person	Man- months	Number of person	Man- months				
Seminar, etc.					1			
1	2	3	4	5	6			
a. Foreign b. Local	No tr	No training was planned and organized under the project						

Items of works	Unit	Target (a	s per PP)	Actual	Reasons for deviation (±)	
(as per PP)		Financial	Physical (Quantity)	Financial	Physical (Quantity)	deviation (2)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Revenue Component:			0			
Stationery, Seal and Stamps (Office	Item	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.00
Stationery) Survey & Investigation	Item	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.00
Printing & publication	Item	0.50	1	0.50	1	0.00
Petrol & Lubricant	Item	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
Repair, Maintenance of	Item	1.00	1	1.00	1	0.00
motor vehicle Sub-Total (Revenue):		3.50		3.50		0.00
Capital Component:			20			
River Bank Protective Work on left bank of the Surma River at		333.28	475	242.54	475	-90.74
mahtabpur: 475 m Sub-Total (Capital):		333.28		242.54		-90.74
Grand-Total		336.78		246.04		-90.74

## 06. Information regarding Project Director (s):

			Danagible	Date	e of	Remarks
Name & Designation with pay	Full	Part	Responsible	Dat		
Scale	time	time	for more than one	Joining	Transfer	-
			project			
	2	3	4	5	6	7
1 1 1 1 1	Full	-	Yes	04/08/05	04/11/07	
Mr. A.H.M Fakhrul Islam,	1000000					
Executive Engineer, Sylhet	time					
O&M Division, BWDB, Sylhet						
Scale: 13750-550x10-19250			***	04/11/07	Till to-	
Mr. A K M Shafigul Haque,	Full	-	Yes	04/11/0/	1	
Executive Engineer, Sylhet	time				date	
ORM Division RWDR Sylhet						
OXIVI DIVISION, DV DB, Cymer				H 8		
Scale: 13750-550X10-19250 Mr. A.K.M Shafiqul Haque, Executive Engineer, Sylhet O&M Division, BWDB, Sylhet Scale: 13750-550X10-19250	Full time	-	Yes	04/11/07	Till to- date	

## o7. Procurement of Transport (in Nos.)

Type of	Number	Procured	Transferred to	Transferred	Condemned/	Remarks
transport	as per PP	with date	Transport pool	to O&M	damaged	=
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>P</b>		with date	with date	with date	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Car						
Jeep	N	o transport v	ehicle was planne	d and procure	d under the proje	ect
Microbus		o transport v	emere was planne	a and procure	a ander the proje	8
Minibus						
Bus						
Pick-up						
Truck	1					
Motor Cycle						
By-cycle						
Speed Boat						
Launch						
Others with	1					
name			ý			

- o8. Procurement of Good, Works and Consultancy Services :
- 8.1 Goods & works of the Project costing more Tk. 100.00 lakh and Consultancy above Tk. 50.00 lakh.

Description of procurement (goods/works/	Tender/Bid cost ( in cr		Tender/F	Bid/Proposal	Date of com works/ ser supply or	vices and f goods
consultancy) as per bid documents	As per PP	As per contract	Invitation date	Contract signing/L.C opening date	As per contract	Actual
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
River Bank	1.5296	1.1025	10/12/07	17/04/08	30/01/09	15/04/09
Protective work at				1.		
the left bank of						
Surma river at						
Mahtabpur from						
Ch. 144.00 km. to				3	2	
Ch. 144.218 km =						
218.00 m in Sadar						
upazila of Sylhet						
District during the				*		
year-2007-08.						ļ.,.,
River Bank	1.8032	1.2100	10/12/07	21/05/08	30/01/09	15/04/09
Protective work at		=				
the left bank of			ā			
Surma river at				9	a	
Mahtabpur from				,		-
Ch. 144.218 km. to						
Ch. 144.475 km =						
257.00 m in Sadar						
upazila of Sylhet						
District during the						
year-2007-08.					<u> </u>	1

### 8.2 Use of Project Consultant (s) (Foreign/ Local)

Name of the	Approved	man month	Actual man month	Remarks	
Field	As per PP As per contract		utilized		
1	2	3	4	5	
a) Foreign:		- No consultant wa	s engaged in the project		
b) Local :	N.				

## 09. Construction / Erection / Installation Tools & Equipment:

Description of items	Quantity (as per PP)	Quantity procured with date	Transferred to O&M with date	Transferred to O&M with date	Balance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Photocopier		No Tools	& Equipment v	vas procured in tl	he project -	
Computer &	18					
Printer with						
accessories.						

## C. FINANCIAL AND PHYSICAL PROGRAMME:

## 01. (a) Original and revised schedule as per PP

(Tk. in Lakh)

Financial	Financial provision & physical target as per original PP			Financial provision & physical target as per latest revised PP				
Year	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2006-07	442.66	442.66		50%				
2007-08	442.76	442.76		50%	202.00	202.00		59.98%
2008-09					134.78	134.78		40.02%
Total:	885.42	885.42		100%	336.78	336.78		100%

## 01. (b) Revised ADP allocation and progress:

(Tk. in lakh)

Total:	335.50	335.50		100.00%	247.04	247.04		100.00%
2008-09	194.00	194.00		84.84%	195.00	195.00		84.84%
2007-08	141.50	141.50		15.16%	52.04	52.04		15.16%
2006-07								
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %	Total	Taka	P.A.	Physical %
Financial Year	Finar ta	icial provi rget as per	cial provision & physical get as per original PP			Financial & physical progress		

## D. ACHIEVEMENT OF OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT:

Objectives as per PP	Actual achievement	Reasons for shortfall,
a) To protect Sylhet-Sunamganj Highway (the only road way communication between Sylhet & Sunamganj) as well as valuable Govt. and private properties at Mahtabpur and it's adjoining areas by implementing the proposed bank protective	a) Mahtabpur and it's adjoining areas & Sylhet-Sunamganj Highway in Sadar Upazila of Sylhet district have been protected through implementation of the protective works as planned on the left bank of the Surma River.	if any Not applicable
works on left bank of the Surma River.  b) To safeguard human lives & properties and restore social & natural security along with environmental preservation from continued threat of river-bank erosion for sustainable socioeconomic development in the entire Sylhet.	b) through implementation of the prot — ective works safeguarded human lives & properties & restoration social & natural security along with environmental preservation from continued threat of river-bank erosion for sustainable socio-economic development in the entire Sylhet.	

#### E. BENEFIT ANALYSIS:

#### 01. Annual Out-put

Items of out-put Unit Estimated quanti expected at full capacity	
--	--

The protective project provides only service benefits by way of safeguarding to human lives, properties and environmental considerations from the continued threat of river bank erosion/ flood hazards. Such benefits can hardly be quantified which may accrue either to an individual or to a community. Proper identification of beneficiaries is difficult and complex. However, by execution of the project, safety of lands and other properties located adjacent to the river bank which was subject to regular and periodic bank erosions and flooding have been restored. Moreover, expansion of socio-economic infrastructure on the banks of eroded river has been achieved through the bank protective work.

#### o2. Cost / Benefit

Item	Estimated	Actual
(1) Benefit cost ratio of the project		:
(i) Financial	1.86:1.00	"
(ii) Economic	1.92:1.00	
(2) Internal Rate of Return		
(i) Financial	23.90% 22.78%	
(ii) Economic	22.78%	

o3. Please give reasons for shortfall, if and, between the estimated and actual benefit:

Does not arise.

## F. MONITORING AND AUDITING:

### 01. Monitoring

N	ame & designation of the inspecting official	Date of inspection	Identified problems	Recommendations
	1	2	3	4
(a)	Ministry/ Agency:	9		
	(i) DG of BWDB	Director General (C	0&M-1) visited sites on 2	24/03/09
	(ii) ADG (O&M-1) of			
	BWDB	8 8		47
	(iii) Joint Secretary,			
	MoWR	*		3
	(iv) CE of North-	The Chief Engineer	, North-Eastern Zone, I	BWDB, Comilla visited
	Eastern Zone,	the project sites seve		-
	BWDB, Comilla			* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Those high officials'	recommendations were	e duly executed.
(b)	IMED :	The Director of IME	D visited project sites of	on 26/08/09
		v		*
©	Others:		N. Carlotte	
	(Please specify)			
		A .		

## 02. Auditing during and after Implementation:

#### 2.1 Internal Audit:

Date of submission of Audit Report	Major findings/ objections	Whether objections resolved or not.		
2	3	4		
Internal Auditing has already been done and no objection was given.				
No internal auditing has been done yet				
	Audit Report 2 Internal Auditing has alre	Audit Report objections  2 3  Internal Auditing has already been done and no		

#### 2.2 External Audit:

Audit period	Date of submission of Major findings Audit Report objections		Whether objections resolved or not.	
1	2	2 3		
2007-08	External Auditing by objection was given.	AG office has already	been done and no	
2008-09	No external auditing has been done yet			

#### G. DESCRIPTIVE REPORT

#### 01. General Observations/ Remarks of the Project on

#### 1.1 Background

River bank erosion has been considered as one of the most devastating natural hazards in alluvial lands of riverine Bangladesh. The large seasonal variation in river flow causes riverbank erosion recurrently and migration of riverbanks. This has significant social and economic impacts. The belligerence of riverbank erosion has caused for landlessness and pauperization of peoples living within riverside. In this backdrop, there have been growing concerns now for reassessment of the economic and socio-political benefits of protecting important locations, infrastructures, valuable properties and towns, growth centres etc. The issue has been duly emphasized in the National Term Plan. The National Water Policy emphasized for protection of strategic geographic locations & important economic zones from devastating erosions of different mighty rivers.

Sylhet town is not only economically important but also historically significant. For keeping the present trends of economic upliftment in Sylhet, both banks of the Surma River have to be made stable. The main objectives of the project are to protect valuable Govt. and private properties in Sylhet town and its adjoining areas by implementing the proposed protective works on both the banks of the Surma River and to safeguard human lives & properties and restore social & natural security along with environmental preservation from continued threat of river-bank erosion and drainage congestion for sustainable socio-economic enhancement in the entire Sylhet town.

Sylhet town as well as its adjoining areas is facing a serious threat to erosion of the river, Surma. The river, Surma originates from Barak River which has been bifurcated at Amalshid into Surma River in the south and Kushiyara in the north. On its way to the Meghna River at Bhairab bazar, Surma passes through Sylhet town travelling approximately 110 km from Amalshid and it receives flows from Lubachara, Kakra, Gomra, Boleswar, etc. originated in the Khasia-Jainta hilly region of Indian state, Meghalaya at the upstream of Sylhet town. The Barak River has got enormous drainage boundary having catchment area of approximately 20,263 km². 40% of the Barak's flow enters into Surma River during monsoon and no flow during dry season. Moreover, Lubachara's flow is predominant in making devastation in Sylhet town. However, it is very much needed to stable the banks of the Surma river for rapid urbanization of Sylhet town. Presently, different locations on the both banks from Alampur to Tokerbazar are seriously vulnerable and need immediate protective measure before further deterioration.

There has been a long standing social demand for early execution of the above mentioned project to safeguard lives and properties from devastating erosion. Following continued people's demand the issue has been duly endorsed at higher policy level. From field level survey, it is identified that by implementing new protective works having length of 475 metre will protect the Sylhet-Sunamganj haighway at Mahtabpur. Location of proposed bank protection works shown in index map and separately enclosed as appendix.

#### 1.2 Justification / Adequacy

Both Surma and Lubachara Rivers are known as flashy rivers. The Surma River receives huge volume of flow from Barak river and Luba Chara which demonstrates tremendous damages to the infrastructures situated on the left bank of Surma River at Sylhet. To ensure safety of lives and properties in the area, the already executed protective works were required to be implemented at the earliest possible time.

#### 1.3 Objectives

The main objectives of the project are:

- 1) To protect Sylhet-Sunamganj Highway (the only road way communication between Sylhet & Sunamganj) as well as valuable Govt. and private properties at Mahtabpur and it's adjoining areas by implementing the proposed bank protective works on left bank of the Surma River.
- 2) To safeguard human lives & properties and restore social & natural security along with environmental preservation from continued threat of river-bank erosion for sustainable socioeconomic development in the entire Sylhet.

### 1.4 Project revision with reason

The project needs revision due to reduced scope of works, overall 28.18% cost increase for protective works due to enhanced schedule of rates of concerned BWDB Circle & time overrun of 33.33%. An inter-ministerial committee was constituted to review the significance/inevitably of the project. The Inter-ministerial committee visited the project area on 18/02/2007. Committee recommends to execute river bank protective work at the left bank of Surma river at Mahtabpur to protect Sylhet-Sunamganj Highway as well as valuable govt. and private properties. Committee also recommends excluding from the RDPP to execute river bank protective work at Goali Chara, Kazirbazar Chara & Jangalia-Jugirgaon. Meanwhile, detail design of the said protective works were finalized and revised costs of the works were estimated on the basis of enhanced schedule of rates(enforce from July'2006) of Sylhet O&M Circle, BWDB, Sylhet. Considering different aspects, PEC took decision to keep the component of protective work on left bank of Surma river at Mahtabpur only. The lengths of protective works at Mahtabpur is 475.00 M. For this reason, revised DPP is proposed with 475.00 M protective works at Mahtabpur.

02. Rationale of project in respect of concept, Design, Location and Timing.

In respect of concept, design, location and timing, the project was made rationale as described in "Justification/Adequacy" above.

- o3. Brief description on planning and financing of the project and its applicability.
  - Project Identification

The process how the project was identified has been described above.

• Project Preparation

The Project proposal has been prepared on the basis of lessons learnt in the area resulted from river erosion and people's need.

Appraisal

As described above, the project was proposed on the basis of adversity of river erosion and public demand.

• Credit Negotiation

Not applicable

Credit Agreement

Not applicable

Credit Effectiveness

Not applicable

Loan Disbursement

Not applicable

Loan conditional ties

Not applicable

Project Approval.

The original project proposal was approved on 10/09/2006 and revised project proposal was approved on 17/03/2008.

- Others (if any).
- 04. Analysis of the Post-Implementation situation and result of the project
- 4.1. Whether the beneficiaries of the project have clear knowledge about the Target/ Objectives of the project.

Yes.

4.2 Programme for use of created - facilities of the project

Created facilities, viz. protective work on the left bank of the Surma River would protect Sylhet- Sunamganj Highway(the only roadway communication between Sylhet & Sunamganj District) situated on the left bank of the said river.

4.3 O&M programme of the project.

O&M programme of the project would be provided from regular revenue budget.

4.4 Impact of the project

4.4.1 Direct

Positive

4.4.2 Indirect:

Positive

4.5 Transfer of Technology and Institutional building through the project

Not applicable

4.6 Employment generation through the project.

As the huge public and private properties from bank erosion of the Surma River and only one roadway communication between two important districts Sylhet – Sunamganj would be safeguarded, people residing around the project area are feeling safety from river erosion and they are prompted to establish different employment generating activities. Therefore, employment opportunities have significantly increased.

4.7 Possibility of Self employmentPositive impact has been seen.

4.8 Possibility of women - employment opportunity.

Positive impact has been seen.

4.9 Women's participation in development.

Positive impact has been seen.

4.10 Probable impact on Socio - Economic activity.

Positive impact has been seen.

4.11 Impact on environment.

Positive impact has been seen.

4.12 Sustainability of the project

The project is sustainable.

4.13. Contribution to poverty alleviation/ reduction

Positive impact has been seen.

4.14 Opinion of the public representatives, local elite, local administration, teachers, religious leaders, women's representatives, etc.

Positive opinion has been expressed.

4.15 Contribution Micro - credit programme and Comments on overlapping with any NGO activities.

Does not arise

05. Problems encountered during Implementation (with duration & steps taken to remove those).

No significant problem was encountered during implementation either any of the items described below.

5.1	Project Management	5.12.	Project aid disbursement and
			re-imbursement
5.2	Project Director	5.13	Mission of the development
5.3	Land Acquisition	5.14	Time & Cost Over-run
5.4	Procurement	5.15	Project Supervision/ Inspection
5.5	Consultancy	5.16	Delay in Decision
5.6	Contractor	5.17	Transport
5.7	Manpower	5.18	Training
5.8	Law & Order	5.19	Approval
5.9	Natural calamity	5.20	Others.
5.10	Project financing, allocation and		
	release		
5.11	Design formulation/approval		

o6. Remarks & recommendations of the Project Director

The project as implemented is playing a vital role in safeguarding the eroding banks of the river, Surma thereby only one roadway communication between Sylhet – Sunamganj districts have been saved. Similar projects should be taken up to protect adjacent project areas.

Syed Ali San Ali Superintending Engineer Sylhet O&M Circle BWDB, Sylhet

(A.K.M. Shafiqul Haque)
Project Director
&

Executive Engineer Sylhet O&M Division BWDB, Sylhet

07. Remarks / Comment of Agency Head

Date :....

Signature and seal

Date :				Signature and seal
			351	
			1 100	